ADDITIONAL EXCERPTS FROM ZACK KYLE DEPOSITION

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA Civil Action No.: 1:21-cv-00814-CCE-LRW LARISSA HARPER HAIRGROVE, Plaintiff, vs. CITY OF SALISBURY, DOWNTOWN SALISBURY INC., and LANE BAILEY, in his individual and official capacity, Defendants. DEPOSITION ZACK KYLE TAKEN AT THE SALISBURY, NC CITY HALL: 217 SOUTH MAIN STREET SALISBURY, NC 28144 01-30-2023 1:53 O'CLOCK P.M. Gretchen Wells Court Reporter Chaplin & Associates 132 Joe Knox Ave, Suite 100-G Mooresville, NC 28117 (704) 606-1434 | (336) 992-1954 | (919) 649-4444

A. I would have no idea.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. Okay. Are there any -- like, would you create a document that would -- that would indicate when you spoke with her about performance?

A. Create a document?

- Q. Yeah? Like, would you document that you had discussed with her, you know, her performance and how you could assist her in making sure she's meeting the requirements of her job?
- A. I took notes periodically, but I'm not sure I would have every time we met.
 - Q. Okay. Do you think you kept those notes?
 - A. I doubt it.
- Q. Okay. Okay. So it says, "As we have discussed, I have concerns with your being able to be responsive to emails, calls and work related matters that need your timely attention. We have also discussed the need for you to utilize the staff you currently have to assure that the work of your department is being done."

So in September 12th, 2018, what staff did she have?

A. Again, I probably -- she may have had a receptionist of which I think, at that time, I -- come to think of her name, Candice somebody. Candice

01-30-23 Hairgrove v Salisbury/1:21-cv-00814-CCE-LRW

COb,

1 A. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. Okay. So I want to go back now to P3. And this is the disciplinary action that you issued in December.

A. Okay.

Q. So you -- you refer back to the, "You were advised to respond to phone calls and emails within the same day or 24 hours."

A. Right. Correct.

Q. And you can't recall whether everybody had to do that, but you know you told Larissa she had to do it. Is that right?

A. Again, I don't know what was required of everybody else. I mean ---

Q. Well, what about the people that reported to you?

A. Again, whenever I had issues, we'd have those discussions.

Q. Okay. So an email was sent -- you say this, "An email was sent to you on October 31st by one of the DSI board members, and you did not respond until November 12th." So do you know what that email was about?

A. I couldn't tell you right now.

Q. Okay. Did you provide Larissa with a copy

01-30-23 Hairgrove v Salisbury/1:21-cv-00814-CCE-LRW

COb,

1 of it at the time?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

14

15

22

A. I am not sure. We had the discussion, and I probably went over the details of the -- who it was or what the concern was, sure.

- Q. Okay. And then you say, "There are a number of emails in your inbox that have not been opened or responded to."
 - A. Correct.
 - Q. And how did you know that?
- 10 A. I had someone from human resources looking
 11 at emails.
- Q. Look at her emails. And did you ever have the occasion to do that to any other department head?
 - A. I'm sure we've done it in the past, yes.
 - Q. Do you recall who you did that with?
- 16 A. I think that's a personnel matter.
- 17 | Q. Pardon me?
- A. I think that would probably be a personnel matter.
- Q. Well, do you recall who it was, though? Can you, like, name that person by initials?
 - A. I don't believe I need to do that.

MR. FLANAGAN: Zack, I think you can respond. As long as you're not talking about the specifics of any kind of investigation or personal

01-30-23 Hairgrove v Salisbury/1:21-cv-00814-CCE-LRW

COb,

ought to be in her personnel file, right?

A. It would have been in my working papers. I don't know that it necessarily had to be in her personnel file.

- Q. Okay. So did you ever give her any of those dates?
 - A. I'm sure we had those discussions.
- Q. Okay. And it says, "Finally, your lack of responsiveness to the North Carolina Main Street personnel prompted a call from them to the city manager and myself, which has led to my asking the marketing person in your department to lead our North Carolina Main Street Conference Coordination."

So I have several questions about that.

A. Sure.

- Q. Who was the Main Street personnel who called?
- A. Again, I -- I'm not sure if it was Liz

 Parham, or I was trying to think of the other lady

 that was working with us, and I cannot think of her

 name. Because I -- I've looked over some of this

 stuff. And I was trying to think of -- but there was
 another person that was involved.
- Q. And who do you -- which one do you think called you? Do you know?

01-30-23 Hairgrove v Salisbury/1:21-cv-00814-CCE-LRW

COb,

Q. Okay. But who -- you were the one who told her to make the changes?

A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

22

23

24

25

- Q. Okay. And did you tell her, "Make these changes," or did you say it, "I think you ought to make these changes"? Because it says, "requested," and I'm trying to figure out if that was like, "I want you to make these changes whether you want to make them or not." Or it's, "I think you should make these changes to look better."
- A. That probably would have been the DSI board member that would have requested the changes -- asked for the changes.
- Q. Okay. All right. The next paragraph talks about, "Pattern of lateness discovered after reviewing Keyscan." Now, I'm going to ask you the same questions I asked you before ---

A. Sure.

Q. --- about auditing people's emails. Did you

department heads?

A. No.

- Q. So why did you review her Keyscan entries?
- A. Pattern of lateness.
 - Q. Well, did she tell you she had meetings

01-30-23 Hairgrove v Salisbury/1:21-cv-00814-CCE-LRW

COb,

1 before work that ran over past 8:30? 2 I'm sure when we met and talked about this 3 in the past, she would have told me. 4 Q. Okay. Well, why would you then write her up 5 if she said, "Look, I'm not here because I'm in these 6 meetings"? 7 Probably more than one time. I wouldn't 8 have -- it would have been probably more than once 9 that this occurred. It had to be a pattern in order 10 for us to write it up. 11 Ο. Okay. But you don't know why she was late? 12 I wouldn't know why she was late for all --13 every instance, because she wouldn't tell me she was 14 So how would I know? 15 Ο. Well, is it considered late if you're at work somewhere else? 16 17 Α. No. 18 So you just assumed she was not at work? Ο. 19 If I've got someone, and ten out of 15 days Α.

- 20 they're late, then are they having meetings every 21 time?
 - Well, I don't know. Did you ask her? Ο.

22

23

- I'm sure we had those conversations. Α.
- 24 Okay. So you told her city business hours Q. 25 are 8:30 to 5:00?

01-30-23 Hairgrove v Salisbury/1:21-cv-00814-CCE-LRW

CO_D